## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

\_\_\_\_

In the Matter of JASMINE A. JONES, JERMAINE J. JONES and JAMES LEE JONES, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

April 6, 1999

UNPUBLISHED

LC No. 91-293686

No. 212182
Wayne County Circuit Court
Juvenile Division

D 1 ( A 11 (

Respondent-Appellant,

and

v

JOHN JOHNSON,

JOANN JONES,

Respondent.

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Hood and Doctoroff, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

Respondent-appellant first claims that Orchards Children's Services, a contract agency of the Family Independence Agency, breached its duty to provide necessary referrals for drug treatment. Respondent-appellant essentially claims that the agency failed to provide her with the consistent assistance necessary to rectify her drug problem. Respondent-appellant relies on *In re Newman*, 189 Mich App 61; 472 NW2d 38 (1991), in support of her claim, but that case is distinguishable. Unlike

the situation in *In re Newman*, here there is no indication in the record that the social workers failed to provide respondent-appellant with appropriate assistance. Rather, respondent-appellant failed to follow through with at least three referrals for drug assessments. Moreover, three attempts at drug treatment were unsuccessful. Accordingly, this issue is without merit.

Respondent-appellant also argues that the evidence was insufficient to show that she would not be able to provide proper care and custody for her children within a reasonable time. Respondent-appellant's argument is directed solely at subsection (3)(g). Because only one statutory ground is necessary to terminate parental rights and because respondent-appellant does not challenge the other statutory grounds relied upon by the trial court in terminating her parental rights, she is not entitled to appellate relief. *Roberts & Son Contracting, Inc v North Oakland Development Corp*, 163 Mich App 109, 113; 413 NW2d 744 (1987).

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald /s/ Harold Hood /s/ Martin M. Doctoroff